

# IT'S YOUR HONOR

## A British Reaction

TO THE USGA:

We had a very successful Amateur at Prestwick. Harvie Ward is an extremely good golfer and such a very nice and pleasant person. His victory was very popular. As you know, we had a wonderful entry from the United States, and all of them very charming and grand sportsmen. The Scottish crowd liked Ward very much. We had a crowd of between 5,000 and 6,000 watching the final, which was all American. Shows how much we like and appreciate your grand golfers. Hope they all come back next year.

LT. COL. C. O. HEZLET, DSO  
CHAIRMAN, CHAMPIONSHIP COMMITTEE  
ROYAL AND ANCIENT GOLF CLUB  
ST. ANDREWS, SCOTLAND

## Radio Coverage

TO THE USGA:

I would like to take this opportunity to state that the radio coverage of the USGA Open at Dallas, Texas, was especially appreciated, since I did not have an opportunity to attend. The fact that the USGA wanted golf fans over the country afforded an opportunity to hear radio coverage of the event is commendable, indeed. I would like to suggest, however, that more air time should be devoted to describing the shots of a greater number of the participants.

DON GRANFELL  
AUSTIN, TEXAS

## "Golf House"

TO THE USGA:

Enclosed you will find a contribution to "Golf House."

I believe the idea of "Golf House" as a useful shrine to the game of golf in America is a very worth-while project, and I wish you great success.

C. L. GAIROARD, PRESIDENT  
THE KROYDON CO.  
MAPLEWOOD, N. J.

## The New Rules

TO THE USGA:

I know it is not going to do any good,

but I am determined to express my views on the new Rules of Golf.

I am a week-end golfer, playing one or two games over a week-end, with no opportunity for practice between games. For economical reasons I play on a course in rugged country, where the fairways are narrow and any ball out of the fairway is most likely an unplayable lie.

If I and all the thousands of other players in my class abide by these new Rules it is enough to discourage the most ardent fan to the point of giving up the game or making his own Rules. The new Rules may be all right for the professional player who plays every day on a fine course, but for us dubbers they are most unfair.

About the silliest of the new Rules is the penalty stroke for a ball that moves after address. How can you possibly penalize the player for a ball moving when he has done nothing to cause the movement?

That is one Rule that I for one simply will not abide by.

Let's see you publish this in "It's Your Honor".

WILLIAM R. SMITH  
MILFORD, N. J.

TO THE USGA:

Upon reading the Revised Rules, I wish to extend congratulations for the wonderful contribution you have made toward clarifying the most neglected feature of the sport.

The Rules in their present form are more readily available to one seeking definitions on any given feature of the game, which together with supplementary information should encourage every golfer to become thoroughly familiar with them.

My only regret is your inability to impose a penalty upon any player for failure to fully appreciate your efforts by becoming completely versed upon proper procedure under any conditions that may arise.

ROBERT J. FOLEY, SECRETARY  
WEST VIRGINIA GOLF ASSOCIATION  
HUNTINGTON, W. VA.

Editor's Note: The USGA Journal invites comments on matters relating to the welfare of the game and will publish them as space permits.

